

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENTTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/29/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOX: KET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,893	08/04/2003	Toshiharu Mori	15162/06090	6538
24367	7590 04/29/2005		EXAM	INER
•	STIN BROWN & WO	GROUP, KARL E		
717 NORTH F SUITE 3400	IARWOOD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS, TX	75201	1755		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Laurication No.	Annlingston	10			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/633,893	MORI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Karl E. Group	1755				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to account the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 M	larch 2005.					
2a) ☑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
,—						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Tachiwana et al (5,972,460), Kurachi et al (6,440,531) and Nakashima et al (6,387,510), each taken alone, for reasons of record.

Applicants' argument that Tachiwana et al fail to disclose any examples containing B_2O_3 is not persuasive in overcoming the rejection. Tachiwana et al, example 5, shows .7% B_2O_3 . Furthermore, the claims do not require the presence of B_2O_3 with the range of 0-8 wt%. It is further argue Nakashima et al and Kurachi et al fail to have any examples containing B_2O_3 . This is not persuasive because the claims do not require B_2O_3 . The range 0-8 in the claims includes zero. Nakashima et al also teach B_2O_3 may be included in amounts up to 3% to improve meltability and stability (column 6, lines 8-10).

The rejection over Speit et al (6,303,528) is withdrawn in view of the amendment limiting the amount of silica outside the range taught by the reference.

3. Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a or e) as being anticipated by Ikenishi et al (6,818,576), for reasons of record.

Applicants' argument that none of the examples disclosed by Ikenishi et al satisfy the conditions of claims 1 and 3 is not persuasive in overcoming the rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,893 Page 3

Art Unit: 1755

Example 73 falls squarely within the ranges of the claims. Example 73 when converted to weight percent includes:

SiO ₂	63.5
B ₂ O ₃	2.8
Al ₂ O ₃	17.4
Li ₂ O	4.9
Na₂O	5.0
K ₂ O	3.1
MaO	3.3 wt%.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,893

Art Unit: 1755

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl E. Group whose telephone number is 571-272-1368. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rkari E Group / Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755

Keg 4-27-05